

A remark on a theorem of Schoen and Wolfson

Sung Ho Wang

January, 2003

Let $l : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ be a weakly Lagrangian map of a compact orientable surface Σ in a Kähler surface X which is area minimizing in its homotopy class of maps in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, X)$, the Sobolev space of maps of square integrable first derivative. Schoen and Wolfson showed such l is Lipschitz, and it is smooth except at most at finitely many points of Maslov index 1 or -1 [ScW]. In this note, we observe if in addition

$$c_1(X)[l] = 0,$$

l is smooth everywhere. Here $c_1(X)$ is the first Chern class of X .

Let D be the unit disk in the plane. Let $B \rightarrow X$ be the canonical S^1 bundle.

Lemma 1 *Let $l \in W^{1,2}(D, X)$ be a Hamiltonian stationary weakly Lagrangian map to a Kähler surface X with two singular points p_+ and p_- of Maslov index 1 and -1. Then l is not area minimizing.*

Proof. Let $U_{r_{\pm}} \subset D$ be a small neighborhood of $p_{\pm}$ of radius $r_{\pm}$ respectively, and let $U_{r_{\pm}, \delta_{\pm}} = U_{r_{\pm}} - U_{\delta_{\pm}}$ be a deleted neighborhood for $\delta_{\pm} < r_{\pm}$. Let γ_+^{ext} be a continuous curve from ∂U_{r_+} to ∂U_{r_-} , and similarly γ_+^{int} be a curve from ∂U_{δ_+} to ∂U_{δ_-} . Set

$$C^{ext} = \partial U_{r_+} \cup \gamma_+^{ext} \cup \partial U_{r_-} \cup \gamma_-^{ext}$$

$$C^{int} = \partial U_{\delta_+} \cup \gamma_+^{int} \cup \partial U_{\delta_-} \cup \gamma_-^{int},$$

where γ_-^{ext} and γ_-^{int} are γ_+^{ext} and γ_+^{int} with opposite orientation. Then each *boundary* curve C^{ext} and C^{int} of $U_{r_+, \delta_+} \cup U_{r_-, \delta_-}$ is a closed loop of Maslov index zero, and hence admits a closed horizontal lift in B .

Let $\hat{U}$ be a deformation of $U_{r_+, \delta_+} \cup U_{r_-, \delta_-}$ by ϵH , where H is the mean curvature vector of l , and we indicate the deformed object with $\hat{\cdot}$ from now on. Set

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{C}^{ext} &= \partial \hat{U}_{r_+} \cup \eta_-^{ext+} \cup \gamma_+^{ext} \cup \eta_+^{ext-} \cup \partial \hat{U}_{r_-} \cup \eta_-^{ext-} \cup \gamma_-^{ext} \cup \eta_+^{ext+} \\ \hat{C}^{int} &= \partial \hat{U}_{\delta_+} \cup \eta_-^{int+} \cup \gamma_+^{int} \cup \eta_+^{int-} \cup \partial \hat{U}_{\delta_-} \cup \eta_-^{int-} \cup \gamma_-^{int} \cup \eta_+^{int+}\end{aligned}$$

where η_+^{ext+} and η_+^{ext-} are curve segments from the end points of γ_+^{ext} to their deformed image, and similarly for η_+^{int+} and η_+^{int-} .

Since both C^{ext} and $\hat{C}^{ext}$ have zero Maslov index and they agree on γ^{ext} , there exists a pair of thin Lagrangian *oriented strips* $T^{ext} = T_+^{ext} \cup T_-^{ext}$ spanning $\partial U_{r_\pm}$ and $\partial \hat{U}'_{r_\pm}$ respectively by collar Lemma 4.8 of [ScW]. Similarly we have a pair of strips $T^{int} = T_+^{int} \cup T_-^{int}$.

Suppose X is Kähler-Einstein. Then the mean curvature deformation is admissible, and following the arguments in Proposition 7.8 in [ScW], one can show the comparison surface $\hat{U} \cup T^{ext} \cup T^{int}$ has strictly smaller area than $U_{r_+, \delta_+} \cup U_{r_-, \delta_-}$ by taking $r_\pm$ and ϵ small, and $\delta_\pm$ sufficiently small compared to $r_\pm$.

Since the deformation concentrates on $p_\pm$ as $r_\pm \rightarrow 0$, and a Kähler manifold osculates $\mathbb{C}^n$ up to second order at any given point, the argument above remains valid when X is a Kähler surface. $\square$

Corollary 1 *Let $l \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, X)$ be a compact orientable weakly Lagrangian surface in a Kähler surface which is area minimizing in its homotopy class of Lagrangian maps. If*

$$c_1(X)[l] = 0, \tag{1}$$

l is smooth. If X is Kähler-Einstein, l is a (branched) minimal Lagrangian surface.

Proof. The total Maslov index of l is equal to $\frac{1}{2}c_1(X)[l]$ via Stokes' theorem. If X is Kähler-Einstein, the mean curvature deformation is a Lagrangian deformation. $\square$

Corollary 2 *A Lagrangian homotopy or homology class in a compact Kähler-Einstein surface can be represented by a finite union of (branched) area minimizing minimal Lagrangian surfaces.*

A finite distinct ordered set $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m = P_0\} = \Gamma \subset X$ is called a *complex geodesic polygon* if Γ is connected and each *face* P_i is a compact complex curve that is a fixed point locus of a Kähler involution r_i . Γ is called *rational* if the group generated by $\{r_i, r_{i+1}\}$ is finite for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$, and it is of *finite type* if the group generated by $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m = r_0\}$ is finite.

An element $l : D \rightarrow X$ with $\partial l : \partial D \rightarrow \Gamma$ is called *monotone* if there exists a partition of ∂D on which ∂l is a monotone map into Γ , [Wa] for detail.

Corollary 3 *Let $\Gamma \subset X$ be a compact rational complex geodesic polygon in a compact Kähler-Einstein surface. If a monotone Lagrangian map $l : D \rightarrow X$ with $\partial l(\partial D) \subset \Gamma$ is minimizing in its relative homotopy class with respect to Γ , then l is smooth up to boundary, and hence l is a (branched) minimal Lagrangian surface and generates a complete minimal Lagrangian surface via successive reflections across its boundary.*

Proof. Since the only Hamiltonian stationary cone in $\mathbb{C}^2$ that has reflectional symmetry across a complex plane is flat, l is smooth along the boundary. Theorem 5.1 in [Wa] for detail.

Let r be a Kähler involution across a face P of Γ , and consider $l(D) \cup r \circ l(D)$. Since r preserves the Kähler form and *reverse* the orientation of $D \cup r(D)$, with an abuse of notation, the Maslov index of a singular point changes sign upon reflection. $\square$

Corollary 4 *Let $\Gamma \subset X$ be a compact rational complex geodesic polygon in a compact Kähler-Einstein surface. A relative Lagrangian homotopy or homology class with respect to Γ can be represented by a finite union of area minimizing (branched) minimal*

Lagrangian surfaces, wedges, and disks with boundary in Γ , and compact (branched) minimal Lagrangian spheres.

Proof. A weak limit of a sequence of monotone $W^{1,2}$ weakly Lagrangian maps is also monotone possibly with respect to a sub-polygon of Γ . The rest follows from [Wa]. $\square$

References

[ScW] R. Schoen; J. G. Wolfson , *Minimizing area among Lagrangian surfaces: the mapping problem*, arXiv: math. DG/0008244.

[Wa] S. H. Wang, *Compact special Legendrian surfaces in S^5* , arXiv: math. DG/0211439.

Sung Ho Wang

email : **shw91@hotmail.com**